HON'BLE SHRI G.S. SINGHVI, THE CHIEF JUSTICE
AND
HON'BLE SHRI JUSTICE G.V. SEETHAPATHY

WRIT PETITION NO. 13059 OF 2006

BETWEEN

Telangana Seva Samithi
Rep. by its General Secretary,
Hyderabad.

.....

Petitioner

And

The Government of Andhra Pradesh
Rep. by its Chief Secretary to Government,
Hyderabad & another.

.....Respondents

::O R D E R ::

Counsel for the Petitioner : None

Dated: 23.08.2006

Per G.S. SINGHVI, CJ

On the last date of hearing i.e. 29.06.2006, we had adjourned this petition filed in the name of public interest by

recording the following order:

> " This petition filed in the name of public interest for issuance of a direction to respondent No.1 to get vacated the accommodations allotted to the members of All India Administrative Service and All India police Service and to suspend the allotment of all present and future funds for construction of fresh houses for these cadres till the so called ineligible officers vacate the houses in their possession, deserves to be dismissed because the petitioner has failed to discharge its primary duty of placing the relevant facts and material on the record of the writ petition. It needs no emphasis that a person, who seeks intervention of the Court in a litigation pro bono publico, owes a duty to the Court and to the public at large to make all bona fide and sincere efforts to collect relevant information, statistics and material, which is imperative for adjudication of the issue raised in the petition. It also does not require any emphasis that in the name of public interest litigation, which is essentially directed against few individuals, the Court cannot make roving enquiry to fish out some material, which may support the cause sought to be projected by the petitioner.
>
> After enactment of the Right to Information Act, 2005, every individual can seek information from the public authority. Therefore, it was necessary for the petitioner to first approach the Government for supply of the details of the Government houses allotted to the members of All India Administrative Service and All India Police Service and also the funds allotted to the members of those cadres for construction of houses. If the required information had not been supplied by the concerned office, the petitioner could legitimately complain against the inaction of the Government.
>
> We would have dismissed the writ petition as aforementioned, but refrain from doing so and accept the request of the learned counsel for grant of two

weeks time to his client to file additional affidavit with better particulars.

Put up on 17.08.2006."

Since no one has appeared for the petitioner and better particulars have not been filed in terms of order dated 29.06.2006, the writ petition is dismissed in default and also for want of prosecution.

As a sequel to dismissal of the writ petition, W.P.M.P.Nos.16230, 16231, 16232 and 16233 of 2006 filed by the petitioner for interim reliefs are also dismissed.

G.S. SINGHVI, CJ

G.V. SEETHAPATHY, J

23.08.2006
ksld